

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 11/01/2001 10/004,366 Roger T. Baird 10012886-1 7303 **EXAMINER** 7590 01/24/2005 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY RAYYAN, SUSAN F Intellectual Property Administration ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 2167

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/004,366	BAIRD, ROGER T.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan F. Rayyan	2167
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 20 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-26.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8.⊠ The drawing correction filed on <u>01 November 2001</u> is a)⊠ approved or b)□ disapproved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·
10. Other:		
Julie,	S Wesdern Du	e
Primary	Examine Du	20/) wype 1/20/05
S Palent and Trademark Office		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner maintains the Final Rejection.Applicant argues Himmel et al (US 6,324,566) does not teach the limitation determining non-local links however Applicant has argued the incorrect reference. Examiner cited Burke (US 6,032,162) as teaching this limitation. Examiner maintains the rejection for the reasons stated in the Final rejection mailed on October 20, 2004. Additionally, Applicant's attention is dirrected to other portions of Burke (US 6,032,162) ,specifically the abstract, whereas Burke teaches remotely stored Internet address or bookmark (URL address code) are clear examples of local and non-lical links within a page as in the Applicant's claim language. Applicant argues Himmel (US 324,566) does not teach parsing the source code includes examining the source code for anchor tags and extracting text from anchor tags for the indicators. Examiner maintains rejection for the reasons stated in the Final Office action mailed on October 20, 2004, whereas Himmel has the capacity to parse source code for anchor tags and extract text in a manner simmilar to the Applicant's claim language.